


The Baptist Distinctives Series

Number 4



Paedobaptism Examined

Volume 3 of 3

Abraham Booth



Quod scriptura, non iubet velat

The Latin translates, “What is not commanded in scripture, is forbidden:”


On the Cover: Baptists rejoice to hold in common with other evangelicals the main principles of the orthodox Christian faith. However, there are points of difference and these differences are significant. In fact, because these differences arise out of God’s revealed will, they are of vital importance. Hence, the barriers of separation between Baptists and others can hardly be considered a trifling matter. To suppose that Baptists are kept apart solely by their views on Baptism or the Lord’s Supper is a regrettable misunderstanding. Baptists hold views which distinguish them from Catholics, Congregationalists, Episcopalians, Lutherans, Methodists, Pentecostals, and Presbyterians, and the differences are so great as not only to justify, but to demand, the separate denominational existence of Baptists. Some people think Baptists ought not teach and emphasize their differences but as E.J. Forrester stated in 1893, “Any denomination that has views which justify its separate existence, is bound to promulgate those views. If those views are of sufficient importance to justify a separate existence, they are important enough to create a duty for their promulgation ... the very same reasons which justify the separate existence of any denomination make it the duty of that denomination to teach the distinctive doctrines upon which its separate existence rests.” If Baptists have a right to a separate denominational life, it is their duty to propagate their distinctive principles, without which their separate life cannot be justified or maintained.

Many among today’s professing Baptists have an agenda to revise the Baptist distinctives and redefine what it means to be a Baptist. Others don’t understand why it even matters. The books being reproduced in the *Baptist Distinctives Series* are republished in order that Baptists from the past may state, explain and defend the primary Baptist distinctives as they understood them. It is hoped that this Series will provide a more thorough historical perspective on what it means to be distinctively Baptist.

The Lord Jesus Christ asked, “*And why call ye me, Lord, Lord, and do not the things which I say?*” (Luke 6:46). The immediate context surrounding this question explains what it means to be a true disciple of Christ. Addressing the same issue, Christ’s question is meant to show that a confession of discipleship to the Lord Jesus Christ is inconsistent and untrue if it is not accompanied with a corresponding submission to His authoritative commands. Christ’s question teaches us that a true recognition of His authority as Lord inevitably includes a submission to the authority of His Word. Hence, with this question Christ has made it forever impossible to separate His authority as King from the authority of His Word. These two principles—the authority of Christ as King and the authority of His Word—are the two most fundamental Baptist distinctives. The first gives rise to the second and out of these two all the other Baptist distinctives emanate. As F.M. Jans wrote in 1894, “Loyalty to Christ as King, manifesting itself in a constant and unswerving obedience to His will as revealed in His written Word, is the real source of all the Baptist distinctives:’ In the search for the *primary* Baptist distinctive many have settled on the Lordship of Christ as the most basic distinctive. Strangely, in doing this, some have attempted to separate Christ’s Lordship from the authority of Scripture, as if you could embrace Christ’s authority without submitting to what He commanded. However, while Christ’s Lordship and Kingly authority can be isolated and considered essentially for discussion’s sake, we see from Christ’s own words in Luke 6:46 that His Lordship is really inseparable from His Word and, with regard to real Christian discipleship, there can be no practical submission to the one without a practical submission to the other.

In the symbol above the Kingly Crown and the Open Bible represent the inseparable truths of Christ’s Kingly and Biblical authority. The Crown and Bible graphics are supplemented by three Bible verses (Ecclesiastes 8:4, Matthew 28:18-20, and Luke 6:46) that reiterate and reinforce the inextricable connection between the authority of Christ as King and the authority of His Word. The truths symbolized by these components are further emphasized by the Latin quotation - *quod scriptura, non iubet vetat*— *i.e.*, “What is not commanded in scripture, is forbidden:’ This Latin quote has been considered historically as a summary statement of the regulative principle of Scripture. Together these various symbolic components converge to exhibit the two most foundational Baptist Distinctives out of which all the other Baptist Distinctives arise. Consequently, we have chosen this composite symbol as a logo to represent the primary truths set forth in the *Baptist Distinctives Series*.

**PÆDOBAPTISM
EXAMINED**



ABRAHAM BOOTH
1734-1806

PÆDOBAPTISM EXAMINED
ON THE
PRINCIPLES, CONCESSIONS, AND REASONINGS
OF THE
MOST LEARNED PÆDOBAPTISTS.

WITH
REPLIES
TO THE
ARGUMENTS and OBJECTIONS
OF
DR. WILLIAMS AND MR. PETER EDWARDS.

BY ABRAHAM BOOTH

With a Biographical Sketch of the Author by John Franklin Jones

IN THREE VOLUMES.

VOLUME 3

PRINTED FOR EBENEZER PALMER,
18 PATERNOSTER-ROW,
LONDON
MDCCCXXIX



The Baptist Standard Bearer, Inc.

NUMBER ONE IRON OAKS DRIVE • PARIS, ARKANSAS 72855

Thou hast given a *standard* to them that fear thee;
that it may be displayed because of the truth.
-- *Psalms 60:4*

Reprinted 2006

by

THE BAPTIST STANDARD BEARER, INC.

No. 1 Iron Oaks Drive
Paris, Arkansas 72855
(479) 963-3831



THE WALDENSIAN EMBLEM

lux lucet in tenebris

“The Light Shineth in the Darkness”

ISBN# 1579783759

GENERAL CONTENTS.

VOL. I.

PÆDOBAPTISM EXAMINED.

PART I.

The Mode of Administration.

	Page
CHAPTER I.—Concerning the Nature, Obligation, and Importance of Positive Institutions in Religion - - -	1—39
CHAP. II.—Concerning the Signification of the Terms, Baptize and Baptism - - - - -	40—131
CHAP. III.—The Design of Baptism; or, the Facts and Blessings represented by it, both in regard to our Lord and his Disciples - - - - -	132—170
CHAP. IV.—The Practice of John the Baptist, of the Apostles, and of the Church in succeeding Ages, in regard to the Manner of administering the Ordinance of Baptism -	171—238
CHAP. V.—The present Practice of the Greek and Oriental Churches, in regard to the Mode of Administration -	239—244
CHAP. VI.—The Design of Baptism more fully expressed by Immersion, than by Pouring or Sprinkling - - -	245—252
CHAP. VII.—The Reasons, Rise, and Prevalence of Pouring or of Sprinkling, instead of Immersion - - - -	253—300

PART II.

The proper Subjects.

CHAPTER I.—No Express Precept nor Plain Example for Pædobaptism, in the New Testament - - - -	303—367
CHAP. II.—No Evidence of Pædobaptism, before the latter End of the Second, or the Beginning of the Third Century -	368—411
CHAP. III.—The high Opinion of the Fathers concerning the Utility of Baptism, and the Grounds on which they proceeded in administering that Ordinance to Infants, when Pædobaptism became a prevailing Practice - - - - -	412

VOL. II.

	Page
CHAP. IV.—Concerning the Modern Grounds of Pædobaptism; namely, Jewish Proselyte Baptism—External Covenant Relation—Jewish Circumcision—Particular Passages of Scripture—and Apostolic Tradition.	
SECTION I.—Jewish Proselyte Baptism - - - - -	1—33

	Page
SECT. 2.—External Covenant Relation - - - - -	33—68
SECT. 3.—Jewish Circumcision - - - - -	68—97
SECT. 4.—Particular Passages of Scripture: viz.	
§ 1.—Matt. xxviii. 19 - - - - -	97—134
§ 2.—Gen. xvii. 7 - - - - -	134—152
§ 3.—Ezek. xvi. 20, 21 - - - - -	153—157
§ 4.—Matt. xix. 14 - - - - -	157—164
§ 5.—John iii. 5 - - - - -	164—170
§ 6.—Acts ii. 39 - - - - -	170—177
§ 7.—Acts xvi. 15, 33; 1 Cor. i. 16 - - - - -	177—185
§ 8.—Rom. xi. 16 - - - - -	186—189
§ 9.—1 Cor. vii. 14 - - - - -	189—231
SECT. 5.—Apostolic Tradition, and the Impracticability of pointing out the Time when Pædobaptism commenced - - -	231—251
CHAP. V.—Infant Baptism and Infant Communion introduced about the same Time, and supported by similar Arguments - - - - -	252—279
General Remarks - - - - -	279—342

PART III.

REPLY TO DR. WILLIAMS.

CHAP. I.—Concerning the Title of Dr. Williams's Book, his Professions, and his Conduct, relative to this Controversy, 353—395	353—395
CHAP. II.—On the little Regard Dr. Williams pays to Quotations produced from Pædobaptists; and on his Disposition to extort Concessions from the Baptists - - - - -	396—406
CHAP. III.—On Dr. W.'s Pretence, that his Book includes a full Reply to my Pædobaptism Examined - - - - -	407—454

VOL. III.

	Page
CHAPTER IV.—Concerning Positive Institutions and Analogical Reasoning - - - - -	1—119
CHAP. V.—On the Meaning of the Words Baptize and Baptism, as represented by Dr. W. - - - - -	120—236
CHAP. VI.—The General Principles on which Dr. W. founds the Right of Infants to Baptism - - - - -	237—325
CHAP. VII.—Infant Communion and Infant Baptism compared - - - - -	326—344
CHAP. VIII.—On the Utility and Importance of Baptism, as represented by Dr. W. - - - - -	345—366

PART IV.

REPLY TO MR. PETER EDWARDS.

MR. DORE'S PREFACE - - - - -	369—388
THE REPLY - - - - -	389—460

“REASONS WHY BAPTISTS OUGHT TO TEACH THEIR DISTINCTIVE VIEWS . . . First, *it is a duty we owe to ourselves*. We must teach these views in order to be consistent in holding them. Because of these we stand apart from other Christians, in separate organizations. . . We have no right thus to stand apart unless the matters of difference have real importance; and if they are really important, we certainly ought to teach them.”

JOHN A. BROADUS

The Duty of Baptists To Teach Their Distinctive Views.
(Philadelphia: American Baptist Publication Society, 1881).

“No religious denomination has a moral right to a separate existence unless it differs essentially from others. Ecclesiastical differences ought always to spring from profound doctrinal differences. *To divide Christians, except for reasons of gravest import, is criminal schism*. Separate religious denominations are justifiable only for matters of conscience growing out of clear scriptural precept.”

J. L. M. CURRY

A Baptist Church Radically Different From Paedobaptist Churches.
(Philadelphia: American Baptist Publication Society, 1889).


“There is something distinctive in the principles of Baptists. They differ from all other denominations; and the difference is so great as not only to justify, but to demand, their separate existence as a people . . . What distinctive mission have the Baptists, if this is not their mission? - to present the truth in love on the matters wherein they differ from Pedobaptists. What is there but this that justifies their separate denominational existence and saves them from the reproach of being schismatics? *If they have a right to denominational life, it is their duty to propagate their distinctive principles, without which that life cannot be justified or maintained.*”

J. M. PENDLETON

Distinctive Principles of Baptists.
(Philadelphia: American Baptist Publication Society, 1882).

The Baptist Standard Bearer, Incorporated is a republication society organized in 1984, and is recognized as a nonprofit, tax-exempt charitable organization. It was founded for the primary purpose of republication and preservation of materials reflecting the Baptist heritage.

ISBN 1-57978-375-9



9 781579 783754

90000>

